

NON-MSF WAVELETS FOR THE HARDY SPACE $H^2(\mathbb{R})$

BISWARANJAN BEHERA

ABSTRACT. We prove three results on wavelets for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. All wavelets constructed so far for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ are MSF wavelets. We construct a family of H^2 -wavelets which are not MSF. An equivalence relation on H^2 -wavelets is introduced and it is shown that the corresponding equivalence classes are non-empty. Finally, we construct a family of H^2 -wavelets with Fourier transform discontinuous at the origin.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the collection of all square integrable functions whose Fourier transform is supported in $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$:

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \xi \leq 0\},$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx.$$

Clearly, $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. A function $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be a wavelet for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ if the system of functions $\{\psi_{j,k} = 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j \cdot -k) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. We shall call such a ψ an H^2 -wavelet.

Two basic equations characterize all H^2 -wavelets. The proof of the following theorem can be obtained from the corresponding result for the usual case of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see Theorem 6.4, Chapter 7 in [7]).

Theorem 1. *A function $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$, is an H^2 -wavelet if and only if*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(2^j \xi)|^2 = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+} \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Date: May 16, 2002

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C40.

Key words and phrases. wavelet, MSF wavelet, wavelet set, H^2 -wavelet, H^2 -wavelet set.

and

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{\psi}(2^j \xi) \overline{\hat{\psi}(2^j(\xi + 2q\pi))} = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.$$

From the Paley-Wiener theorem it follows that there is no compactly supported function in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ apart from the zero function, hence, there is no compactly supported H^2 -wavelet. On the other hand, there exist H^2 -wavelets with compactly supported Fourier transform. One such example is given by $\hat{\psi} = \chi_{[2\pi, 4\pi]}$ which is the analogue of the Shannon wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. P. Auscher [2] proved that there is no H^2 -wavelet satisfying the following regularity condition: $|\hat{\psi}|$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and $|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| = O((1 + |\xi|)^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{2}})$ at ∞ , for some $\alpha > 0$. In particular, $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ does not have a wavelet ψ with $|\hat{\psi}|$ continuous and $\hat{\psi}$ is compactly supported.

Analogous to the L^2 case, an H^2 -wavelet ψ will be called a *minimally supported frequency* (MSF) wavelet if $|\hat{\psi}| = \chi_K$ for some $K \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Such wavelets were called *unimodular wavelets* in [6] and *s-elementary wavelets* in [5]. The associated set K will be called an H^2 -wavelet set. In this situation the set K has Lebesgue measure 2π .

There is a simple characterization of H^2 -wavelet sets analogous to the L^2 case.

Theorem 2. *A set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is an H^2 -wavelet set if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

- (i) $\{K + 2k\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $\{2^j K : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

In [6], the authors proved that the only H^2 -wavelet set which is an interval is $[2\pi, 4\pi]$. They also characterized all H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of two disjoint intervals. In [3] (see also [1]) we proved a result on the structure of H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of a finite number of intervals and, as an application, characterized 3-interval H^2 -wavelet sets. All these wavelet sets depend on a finite number of integral parameters which proves that there are countably many H^2 -wavelet sets which are union of at most three disjoint intervals. We also constructed a family of 4-interval H^2 -wavelet sets with some of the endpoints depending on a continuous real parameter, thereby proving the uncountability of such sets (see [1]). In the proof of Theorem 6 below, we exhibit a family of H^2 -wavelet sets with some of the endpoints depending on two independent continuous real parameters. Some more H^2 -wavelet sets were constructed in [8] where the author also proves the existence of an H^2 -MSF wavelet ψ such that $\psi \notin L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $p < 2$.

Up to the present time, all known wavelets for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ are MSF, i.e., the Fourier transform is the characteristic function of a subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$. In the next section, we construct a family of non-MSF H^2 -wavelet. In section 3, we introduce an equivalence relation on the set of H^2 -wavelets and explicitly construct examples of wavelets in each of the corresponding equivalence classes. In the last section, we construct a family of H^2 -wavelets with Fourier transform discontinuous at the origin.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION OF NON-MSF WAVELETS

Our strategy of constructing the family of non-MSF wavelets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the following. We start with an H^2 -MSF wavelet so that $|\hat{\psi}|$ assumes the value 1 on its support. Then we add some more sets to the support of $\hat{\psi}$ and reassign values to $\hat{\psi}$ in such a manner that the equalities $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(2^j \xi)|^2 = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ a.e. and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}(\xi + 2k\pi)|^2 = 1$ a.e. are preserved, which are necessary conditions for ψ to be an H^2 -wavelet.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let k be an integer satisfying $1 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$. Define the set

$$K_{r,k} = \left[\frac{2(k+1)}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi, \frac{2k}{2^r-1}\pi \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^{r+1}k}{2^r-1}\pi, \frac{2^{r+2}(k+1)}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi \right] = A \cup B, \text{ say.}$$

Observe that the sets $A + 2 \cdot 2^r \pi$ and B are disjoint and their union is an interval of length 2π so that (i) in Theorem 2 is satisfied. Similarly, $2^r A$ and B are disjoint and their union is the interval $[a, 2a]$, where $a = \frac{2^{r+1}(k+1)}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi$, hence, (ii) in Theorem 2 is also satisfied. Therefore, $K_{r,k}$ is an H^2 -wavelet set. In fact, $\{K_{r,k} : r \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)\}$ is precisely the collection of all H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of two disjoint intervals, as first observed in [6].

In particular, for $k = 2^r - 1$, we get the following family of H^2 -wavelet sets:

$$(1) \quad K_r = \left[\frac{2^{r+1}}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi, 2\pi \right] \cup \left[2^{r+1}\pi, \frac{2^{2r+2}}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi \right], \quad r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us denote the intervals on the right hand side of (1) by I_r and J_r respectively. Note that $\frac{2\pi}{3} \leq |I_r| < \pi$ and $\pi < |J_r| \leq \frac{4\pi}{3}$. We denote the Lebesgue measure of a set S by $|S|$. First of all, we observe that $2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi \subset J_r$.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, define the function ψ_r by

$$(2) \quad \hat{\psi}_r(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in I_r \cup (2^{-1}I_r) \cup (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi \\ 1 & \text{if } \xi \in J_r \setminus (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. *For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, ψ_r is a wavelet for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Some preparation is needed before we prove Theorem 3. Define the maps τ and d as follows:

$$\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [2\pi, 4\pi], \quad \tau(x) = x + 2k(x)\pi,$$

$$d : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [2\pi, 4\pi], \quad d(x) = 2^{j(x)}x,$$

where $k(x)$ and $j(x)$ are unique integers such that $x + 2k(x)\pi$ and $2^{j(x)}x$ belong to $[2\pi, 4\pi]$.

We first prove the following lemma which gives useful information regarding the support of $\hat{\psi}_r$. This will be crucial for proving Theorem 3.

Lemma 4. *Let $E_r = \text{supp } \hat{\psi}_r = (2^{-1}I_r) \cup I_r \cup J_r \cup (I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi)$.*

- (i) *If $\xi \in 2^{-1}I_r$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0, 2^r$, and $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0, 1$.*
- (ii) *If $\xi \in I_r$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0, 2^{r+1}$, and $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0, -1$.*
- (iii) *If $\xi \in 2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0, -2^r$, and $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0, 1$.*
- (iv) *If $\xi \in J_r \setminus (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi)$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0$, and $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0$.*
- (v) *If $\xi \in I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0, -2^{r+1}$, and $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0, -1$.*

Proof. Observe that $\tau(E) = \tau(E + 2k\pi)$ and $d(F) = d(2^jF)$ for every $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for every $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, $F \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Hence,

$$(3) \quad \tau(2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) = \tau(2^{-1}I_r), \quad \tau(I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi) = \tau(I_r),$$

and

$$(4) \quad d(2^{-1}I_r) = d(I_r), \quad d(2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) = d(I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi).$$

It also follows from the definition of the maps τ and d that if W is an H^2 -wavelet set and $E, F \subset W$, then $\tau(E) \cap \tau(F) = \emptyset$ and $d(E) \cap d(F) = \emptyset$. Since $I_r \cup J_r$ is an H^2 -wavelet set and $2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi \subset J_r$, we have

$$(5) \quad \tau(I_r) \cap \tau(2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) = \emptyset,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \tau(J_r \setminus (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi)) \cap \tau(2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) = \emptyset.$$

Form (3), (5) and (6), we get

$$\tau(2^{-1}I_r) \cap \tau(I_r) = \emptyset, \quad \tau(2^{-1}I_r) \cap \tau(I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi) = \emptyset,$$

and

$$\tau(2^{-1}I_r) \cap \tau(J_r \setminus (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi)) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, if $\xi \in 2^{-1}I_r$, then $\xi + 2k\pi \in E_r$ if and only if $k = 0, 2^r$.

Similarly, we have

$$(7) \quad d(I_r) \cap d(J_r) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad d(I_r) \cap d(2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) = \emptyset.$$

From (4) and (7) we get

$$d(2^{-1}I_r) \cap d(J_r) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad d(2^{-1}I_r) \cap d(I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi) = \emptyset.$$

From this we obtain that if $\xi \in 2^{-1}I_r$, then $2^j\xi \in E_r$ if and only if $j = 0, 1$.

We have proved (i) of the theorem. The proof of (ii)–(v) is similar. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. In view of the characterization of H^2 -wavelets (see Theorem 1), we need to show the following:

$$(a) \quad \|\psi_r\|_2 = 1.$$

$$(b) \quad \rho(\xi) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\psi}_r(2^j\xi)|^2 = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+} \text{ for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(c) \quad t_q(\xi) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{\psi}_r(2^j\xi) \overline{\hat{\psi}_r(2^j(\xi + 2q\pi))} = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.$$

Proof of (a). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\psi}_r\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}_r(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|I_r| + \frac{1}{2}|I_r| + \frac{1}{2}|I_r| + |I_r| \right) + |J_r| - \frac{1}{2}|I_r| \\ &= |I_r| + |J_r| \\ &= 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$.

Proof of (b). Observe that $\rho(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \leq 0$. Since $\rho(2\xi) = \rho(\xi)$ for a.e. ξ , it is enough to show that $\rho(\xi) = 1$ on any set E such that $d(E) = [2\pi, 4\pi]$. $I_r \cup J_r$ is such a set since it is an H^2 -wavelet set.

If $\xi \in I_r$, then by Lemma 4(ii), $2^j\xi \in \text{supp } \hat{\psi}_r$ if and only if $j = -1, 0$. Hence, $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(\frac{\xi}{2})|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 = 1$.

We write $J_r = (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi) \cup \{J_r \setminus (2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi)\} = M \cup L$, say. If $\xi \in M$, then $2^j\xi \in \text{supp } \hat{\psi}_r$ if and only if $j = 0, 1$ (see Lemma 4(iii)) so that $\rho(\xi) = |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(2\xi)|^2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 + (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 = 1$. For $\xi \in L$, no other dilate of ξ is in the support of $\hat{\psi}$, hence, $\rho(\xi) = 1$ a.e.

Proof of (c). Since $t_{-q}(\xi) = \overline{t_q(\xi - 2q\pi)}$, it is enough to prove that $t_q = 0$ a.e. for all positive and odd integer q . The term

$$\hat{\psi}(2^j\xi)\overline{\hat{\psi}(2^j(\xi + 2q\pi))}$$

is non-zero when both $2^j\xi$ and $2^j\xi + 2 \cdot 2^jq\pi$ are in the support of $\hat{\psi}$. Referring again to Lemma 4, we observe that, this is possible if either $2^jq = 2^r$ or $2^jq = 2^{r+1}$. Since the integer q is odd, either $j = r, q = 1$ or $j = r + 1, q = 1$. In the first case, $2^j\xi \in 2^{-1}I_r$ so that $2^j(\xi + 2q\pi) \in 2^{-1}I_r + 2^{r+1}\pi$, $2^{j+1}\xi \in I_r$, and $2^{j+1}(\xi + 2q\pi) \in I_r + 2^{r+2}\pi$. Hence, $t_q(\xi) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = 0$. The second case is treated similarly. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3. AN EQUIVALENCE RELATION

In this section we shall introduce an equivalence relation on the collection of all wavelets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and show that each of the corresponding equivalence classes is non-empty.

Let ψ be an H^2 -wavelet. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the following closed subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$: $V_j = \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_{l,k} : l < j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is easy to verify that these subspaces satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- (ii) $f \in V_j$ if and only if $f(2\cdot) \in V_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- (iii) $\cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$, and
- (iv) V_0 is invariant under the group of translation by integers.

In view of property (iv), it is natural to ask the following question: Does there exist other groups of translations under which V_0 remains invariant? We shall consider the groups of translation by dyadic rationals. For $y \in \mathbb{R}$, Let T_y be the (unitary) translation operator defined by $T_y f(x) = f(x - y)$. Consider the following groups of translation operators:

$$\mathcal{G}_r = \{T_{\frac{m}{2^r}} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_\infty = \{T_y : y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a set of bounded linear operators on $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and V a closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. We say that V is $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant if $Tf \in V$ for every $f \in V$ and $T \in \mathcal{G}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}_r$ the collection of all H^2 -wavelets such that the corresponding space V_0 is $\mathcal{G}_r$ -invariant. Clearly, we have the following

inclusions:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \supset \mathcal{L}_1 \supset \mathcal{L}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_r \supset \mathcal{L}_{r+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{L}_\infty.$$

We now define an equivalence relation on H^2 -wavelets, where the equivalence classes are given by $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{L}_r \setminus \mathcal{L}_{r+1}$, with $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \mathcal{L}_\infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_r$, $r \geq 0$ consists of H^2 -wavelets for which V_0 is $\mathcal{G}_r$ -invariant but not $\mathcal{G}_{r+1}$ -invariant.

This equivalence relation was first defined in [10] for the classical case of wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In the same paper the author characterized the equivalence classes in terms of the support of the Fourier transform of the wavelets. He also proved that $\mathcal{M}_r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are non-empty. Later, in [4], [9], examples of wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ were constructed for each of these equivalence classes, by different methods.

The characterization of $\mathcal{M}_r$ can be easily carried over to the case of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. First of all we introduce some notation.

Let ψ be an H^2 -wavelet and let $E = \text{supp } \hat{\psi}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $E(k) = \{\xi \in E : \xi + 2k\pi \in E\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\psi = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : E(k) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then the characterization of the equivalence classes is the following.

- Theorem 5.** (i) $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ is precisely the collection of all H^2 -MSF wavelets.
(ii) An H^2 -wavelet $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_r$, $r \geq 1$, if and only if every element of $\mathcal{E}_\psi$ is divisible by 2^r but there is an element of $\mathcal{E}_\psi$ not divisible by 2^{r+1} .
(iii) An H^2 -wavelet $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_\psi$ contains an odd integer.

The proof of the above theorem is an easy generalization of the corresponding result proved in [10] for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The purpose of this section is to show that all the equivalence classes are non-empty. Indeed, we show that, the H^2 -wavelets constructed in the previous section serve as examples in $\mathcal{M}_r$, $r \geq 1$. To show that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is non-empty, we produce an interesting family of H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of five disjoint intervals.

Theorem 6. The equivalence classes $\mathcal{M}_r$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, defined above, are non-empty.

Proof. We mentioned in the introduction about all previously known H^2 -wavelets. Each of them is MSF. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ is non-empty.

Now, fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the H^2 -wavelet ψ_r defined in (2). From Lemma 4, we notice that $\mathcal{E}_{\psi_r} = \{0, \pm 2^r, \pm 2^{r+1}\}$. By Theorem 5(ii), $\psi_r \in \mathcal{M}_r$.

We now construct an example in the equivalence class $\mathcal{M}_0$. For this purpose, it is natural to consider the case $r = 0$ in (2). Unfortunately this does not work since we get $\hat{\psi}_0 = \chi_{[2\pi, 4\pi]}$. Hence, ψ_0 is in $\mathcal{M}_\infty$, being an MSF wavelet.

Let $\pi < x < y < 2\pi$ and $x + 2\pi > 2y$. That is, (x, y) is in the interior of the triangle with vertices $(\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$, $(\pi, 2\pi)$ and $(2\pi, 2\pi)$. Consider the following set:

$$K_{x,y} = [x, y] \cup [2\pi, 2x] \cup [2y, x + 2\pi] \cup [y + 2\pi, 4\pi] \cup [2x + 4\pi, 2y + 4\pi].$$

Let us denote the intervals in the right by $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_5$. The conditions on x and y ensure that these intervals are non-empty. Observe that $I_1, I_4 - 2\pi, I_2, I_5 - 4\pi, I_3$ are pairwise disjoint, and their union is $[x, x + 2\pi]$. Similarly, $I_1, 2^{-1}I_3, 2^{-2}I_5, 2^{-1}I_4, I_2$ are pairwise disjoint, and their union is $[x, 2x]$. Hence, by Theorem 2, $K_{x,y}$ is an H^2 -wavelet set.

In particular, we obtain a family of 5-interval H^2 -wavelet sets where some of the endpoints of the intervals depend on two independent continuous real parameters.

Note that $2^{-1}I_3 + 2\pi$ is properly contained in I_4 . Now, define the function ψ_0 by

$$\hat{\psi}_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in I_3 \cup (2^{-1}I_3) \cup (2^{-1}I_3 + 2\pi) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \xi \in I_3 + 4\pi \\ 1 & \text{if } \xi \in K_{x,y} \setminus (2^{-1}I_3 + 2\pi) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be proved that ψ_0 is an H^2 -wavelet. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 and we skip the proof in order to avoid repetition. It is also clear that $\mathcal{E}_{\psi_0} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Hence by Theorem 5(iii), $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. H^2 -WAVELETS WITH FOURIER TRANSFORM DISCONTINUOUS AT THE ORIGIN

In this section we construct a family of wavelets for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transforms are discontinuous at the origin. First we recall a result proved in [7] for wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see Theorem 2.7, Chapter 3 of [7]).

Theorem 7. *Let ψ be a wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}$ has compact support and $|\hat{\psi}|$ is continuous at 0. Then $\hat{\psi} = 0$ a.e. in an open neighbourhood of the origin.*

This result also holds for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ with essentially the same proof. We are interested in the following question: Does there exist an H^2 -wavelet such that $\hat{\psi}$ has compact support and does not vanish in any neighbourhood of the origin? In this section we shall give a positive answer to this question. We need the following concepts.

Definition 8. A set A is said to be translation equivalent to a set B if there exists a partition $\{A_n\}$ of A and $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{A_n + 2k_n\pi\}$ is a partition of B . Similarly, A is dilation equivalent to B if there exists another partition $\{A'_n\}$ of A and $j_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{2^{j_n}A'_n\}$ is a partition of B .

Theorem 2 has the following simple but useful consequence.

Corollary 9. Let $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and K_1 is both translation and dilation equivalent to K_2 . Then K_1 is an H^2 -wavelet set if and only if K_2 is so.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_r = \frac{2^{r+1}}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi$. Then we know that

$$K_r = [t_r, 2\pi] \cup [2^{r+1}\pi, 2^{r+1}t_r] = I_r \cup J_r$$

is an H^2 -wavelet set (see equation (1)). For $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < \frac{2^r-1}{2^{r+1}-1}\pi$, let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \left[\frac{t_r}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{r+1}}, \frac{t_r}{2} + \epsilon \right], \\ S_2 &= [t_r + 2\epsilon, 2\pi], \\ S_3 &= [2^{r+1}t_r, 2^{r+1}t_r + 2\epsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

The condition on ϵ ensures that S_2 a non-empty set. Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= S_1 + 2^{r+1}\pi, & F_0 &= 2^{-(r+2)}E_0, \\ E_n &= F_{n-1} + 2^{r+1}\pi, & F_n &= 2^{-(n+r+2)}E_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

Define the set

$$(8) \quad K_{r,\epsilon} = \left(J_r \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n \right) \cup (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3).$$

Theorem 10. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $K_{r,\epsilon}$, defined in (8), is an H^2 -wavelet set.

Proof. The result will follow from Corollary 9 once we show that $K_{r,\epsilon}$ is both translation and dilation equivalent to the wavelet set K_r . First of all, we show by induction that $E_n \subset J_r$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Observe that $t_r + 2^{r+1}\pi = 2^{r+1}t_r$, hence, $[0, t_r] + 2^{r+1}\pi = J_r$. We have, $E_0 = S_1 + 2^{r+1}\pi \subset [0, t_r] + 2^{r+1}\pi = J_r$. Now assume that $E_m \subset J_r$. Then $F_m = 2^{-(m+r+2)}E_m \subset 2^{-(m+1)}[0, t_r] \subset [0, t_r]$, hence, $E_{m+1} = F_m + 2^{r+1}\pi \subset [0, t_r] + 2^{r+1}\pi = J_r$.

The intervals E_n , $n \geq 0$, lie inside the interval J_r and E_{n+1} lies to the left of E_n for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly, the intervals F_n , $n \geq 0$, lie in $2^{-(n+1)}[\pi, t_r]$ so that F_{n+1} lies to the left of F_n for $n \geq 0$.

We now show that the set $K_{r,\epsilon}$ is dilation equivalent to the wavelet set K_r . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}S_3 &= \left[t_r + \frac{\epsilon}{2^r}, t_r + 2\epsilon\right] \cup [t_r + 2\epsilon, 2\pi] \cup \left[t_r, t_r + \frac{\epsilon}{2^r}\right] \\ &= [t_r, 2\pi] = I_r, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(J_r \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+r+2}F_n\right) = \left(J_r \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\right) = J_r,$$

since $E_n \subset J_r$, for all $n \geq 0$, which proves the dilation equivalence.

Finally, we show that $K_{r,\epsilon}$ is translation equivalent to K_r . Observe that

$$S_2 \cup (S_3 - 2^{r+1}\pi) = [t_r + 2\epsilon, 2\pi] \cup [t_r, t_r + 2\epsilon] = I_r,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(J_r \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_n + 2^{r+1}\pi)\right) \cup (S_1 + 2^{r+1}\pi) \\ &= \left(J_r \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cup E_0 = J_r. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that $K_{r,\epsilon}$ is both dilation and translation equivalent to the H^2 -wavelet set K_r . Therefore, by Corollary 9, it follows that $K_{r,\epsilon}$ is an H^2 -wavelet set. $\square$

Let $\hat{\psi}_{r,\epsilon}$ be the characteristic function of the set $K_{r,\epsilon}$ so that $\psi_{r,\epsilon}$ is an H^2 -wavelet. Since $F_n \subset 2^{-(n+1)}[\pi, t_r]$ for all $n \geq 0$, $\hat{\psi}_{r,\epsilon}$ does not vanish in any neighbourhood of 0. In particular, it is discontinuous at the origin.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arcozzi, N., Behera, B., and Madan, S., *Large classes of minimally supported frequency wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R})$* . Preprint (2001).
- [2] Auscher, P., *Solution of two problems on wavelets*, J. Geom. Anal. **5** (1995), 181–236.
- [3] Behera, B., *Band-limited wavelets and wavelet packets*, Ph. D. thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India (2001).
- [4] Behera, B., and Madan, S., *Characterization of a class of band-limited wavelets*, submitted.
- [5] Dai, X., and Larson, D.,
- [6] Ha, Y-H., Kang, H., Lee, J., and Seo, J., *Unimodular wavelets for L^2 and the Hardy space H^2* , Michigan Math. J. **41** (1994), no. 2, 345–361.
- [7] Hernández, E., and Weiss, G., *A First Course on Wavelets*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1996).

- [8] Majchrowska, G., *Some new examples of wavelets in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 49 (2001), no. 2, 141–149.
- [9] Schaffer, S., and Weber, E., *Wavelets with the translation invariance property of order n* . Preprint (2001).
- [10] Weber, E., *On the translation invariance of wavelet subspaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6 (2000), no. 5, 551–558.

STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203,
B. T. ROAD, CALCUTTA, 700108, INDIA

E-mail address: biswa_v@isical.ac.in, br_behera@yahoo.com